EXHIBIT

4

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc#6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 1 of 6 Pg ID 76

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

NATIONWIDE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign corporation,

Plaintiff.

VS

Case: 2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Hon. Avern Cohn

WILLIAM KEENE, JENNIFER KEENE, MONICA LYNN LUPILOFF, NICOLE RENEE LUPILOFF and NICOLE RENEE LUPILOFF PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF GARY LUPILOFF, DECEASED,

Defendants.

Michael F. Schmidt (P25213)	Albert L. Holtz (P15088)
Attorney for Plaintiff	ALBERT L. HOLTZ, P.Ć.
1050 Wilshire Dr, Ste 320	Attorney for Monica Lupiloff, Nicole
Troy MI 48084	Lupiloff and Nichole Lupiloff,
248 649-7800	Personal Representative of the
	Estate of Gary Lupiloff, deceased
	248 593-5000

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

NOTICE OF SPECIAL AND/OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

NOW COME the Defendants herein, Monica Lynn Lupiloff, Nicole Renee Lupiloff and Nicole Renee Lupiloff Personal Representative of the Estate of Gary Lupiloff, Deceased, by their attorney, ALBERT L. HOLTZ, P.C., and in response to Plaintiff's Complaint answer as follows:

1. Neither admitted nor denied for want of sufficient information.

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc#6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 2 of 6 Pg ID 77

- 2. Neither admitted nor denied for want of sufficient information.
- 3. Neither admitted nor denied for want of sufficient information.
- 4. Denied. Monica Lynn Lupiloff is a resident of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois.
- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Admitted.
- 7. Admitted.
- 8. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 9. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 10. Admitted.
- 11. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 12. Denied as untrue.
 - 13. Denied as untrue.
- 14. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 15. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 16. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 17. Admitted.
 - 18. Admitted.

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc#6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 3 of 6 Pg ID 78

- 19. Admitted.
- 20. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 21. Admitted.
- 22. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 23. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 24. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 25. Admitted.
- 26. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 27. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 28. Admitted.
 - 29. Admitted.
- 30. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 31. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
- 32. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc#6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 4 of 6 Pg ID 79

- 33. Admitted.
- 34. Denied for the reason that said allegations are untrue.
- 35. Admitted that there was fraud, denied that Plaintiff can return the premiums.
- 36. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.
 - 37. Neither admitted nor denied for the reason that Defendants are unaware whether same is true.

NOTICE OF SPECIAL AND/OR AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

TO: Clerk of the Court and Michael F. Schmidt

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that prior to or at the time of trial the Defendants will assert the following Special and/or Affirmative Defenses:

First Affirmative Defense

1. Breach of contract / third-party beneficiary contract.

Second Affirmative Defense

2. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

Third Affirmative Defense

3. The frauds of Defendants William Keene and Jennifer Keene.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

4. Laches / failure to bring this action in an appropriate time.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

5. Doctrine of unclean hands. Nationwide and/or its agents dealt with the life insurance policy (hereinafter "Policy") and change of ownership and beneficiary forms without checking the *bone fides* of the beneficiaries or the *bone fides* of the signatures

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc#6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 5 of 6 Pg ID 80

Sixth Affirmative Defense

6. Jurisdiction and venue; the parties are residents of multiple states.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

7. Improper venue.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

8. Plaintiff has incurred no damages.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

9. Barred by the Statute of Frauds. There is no verification of the change of beneficiary by the Plaintiff.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

Coercion, fraud and/or duress. Decedent was fraudulently induced by the
 Keenes to sign said documents, or his signature was forged.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

11. MCLA; MCL 2083(4).

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

12. Jennifer Keene was an accomplice on information and belief or aware of the illegal acts committed by her husband.

Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

13. Fraud, committed by Defendants, Keenes, on decedent and Plaintiff.

Fourteenth Affirmative Defense

14. The failure of Plaintiff to investigate the facts of this case.

Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

15. The negligence, carelessness and/or gross or willful misconduct in failing to question further the motives of issues of the subject Policy.

2:11-cv-12422-AC-MKM Doc # 6 Filed 06/20/11 Pg 6 of 6 Pg ID 81

Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

16. The failure of Plaintiff to question the motive for change and failure to authenticate the signatures made during the change, and failure to provide an approval or authentication.

Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

17. Unjust enrichment; Plaintiff collected premiums on a Policy for which it has decided not to pay because of legal issues not propounded by Defendant Estate or the surviving daughters.

Eighteenth Affirmative Defense

18. Demand for responses to these Special and/or Affirmative Defenses is hereby made.

Nineteenth Affirmative Defense

19. Defendants reserve the right to amend their Special and/or Affirmative Defenses.

ALBERT L. HOLTZ, P.C.

Dated: 14 June 2011	/s/	Albert L. Holtz
---------------------	-----	-----------------

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

LYNN PARSONS does hereby affirmatively state that on 6/22/11she electronically filed the foregoing and this Proof of Service with the Cierk of the Court using Wiznet E-File & Serve system which will effectuate service upon all counsel of record.

/s/ Lynn Parsons